



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,303	12/03/2001	Sung-Chan Park	08244.0032	4821
7590 05/10/2004				
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315		EXAMINER NGUYEN, THANH T		
		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		2813		

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/998,303

Applicant(s)

PARK ET AL.

Examiner

Thanh T. Nguyen

Art Unit

2813

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 September 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6 are stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0036730) as previously applied.

Referring to figures 2A-2E, Kim teaches a method for forming contact openings between bit line patterns, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) forming bit line patterns (107) on a substrate including word line patterns (105, DRAM device include a word line) thereby forming a first resulting structure;
- b) forming an interlayer insulating film (106b) on the first resulting structure;

c) etching the interlayer insulating film with an etching mask (110) defining a straight line shape, and forming a straight line shaped contact opening (112) between neighboring bit line patterns (107); and

d) forming insulating layers (113, silicon nitride) on the sidewalls of the bit line patterns (107) only exposed through the contact opening (112).

Regarding to claim 2-3, the interlayer insulating layer (106b, oxide layer)

Regarding to claim 6, the top surfaces of the bit line patterns are covers with a layer selected from the group consisting of an oxide layer (106b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1-6, 9 are stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0036730) in view of further in view of cooper et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,219,793) as previously applied.

Referring to figures 2A-2E, Kim teaches a method for forming contact openings between bit line patterns, the method comprising the steps of:

a) forming bit line patterns (107) on a substrate including word line patterns (105, DRAM device include a word line) thereby forming a first resulting structure;

Art Unit: 2813

- b) forming an interlayer insulating film (106b) on the first resulting structure;
- c) etching the interlayer insulating film with an etching mask (110) defining a straight line shape, and forming a straight line shaped contact opening (112) between neighboring bit line patterns (107); and
- d) forming insulating layers (113, silicon nitride) on the sidewalls of the bit line patterns (107) only exposed through the contact opening (112).

Regarding to claim 2-3, the interlayer insulating layer (106b, oxide layer)

Regarding to claim 6, the top surfaces of the bit line patterns are covers with a layer selected from the group consisting of an oxide layer (106b).

However, Kim does not teach the interlayer insulating layer has a low dielectric constant is etched a gas mixture of CF_4 , CHF_3 , and argon (Ar) or using a gas selected from Ar, O_2 , N_2 , H_2 , CH_4 , C_2H_4 and C_xF_y as claimed in claims 2-4, 8. Nevertheless, such processing step is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by Cooper et al.. Cooper teaches forming an oxide interlayer insulating layer (18) and SOG layer (22, spin on glass, Noted SOG layer is a low dielectric oxide layer which has the dielectric constant less than 3.5 as claimed in claims 2-3) is etched with a mixture of CF_4 , CHF_3 , and argon (Ar) or one of Ar, O_2 and C_2F_6 , at the pressure of 150-350 mtorr (see col. 5, lines 4-19). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have used a mixture of CF_4 , CHF_3 , and argon (Ar) or one of Ar, O_2 and C_2F_6 to etch the interlayer insulating layer in Kim's process as taught by Cooper et al. **because** carbon and fluorine compound plasma can selectively etch the oxide interlayer insulating layer and remove all the oxide interlayer layer to expose the contact region between conductive patterns, and in the case when some spacing between conductive

Art Unit: 2813

patterns wider than the other, the carbon and fluorine compound plasma can selectively etch the oxide interlayer insulating layer and leave a portion of oxide interlayer insulating layer on the sidewall of the conductive patterns to form sidewall spacers and also expose the contact region between the conductive patterns.

Regarding to claim 6, method of forming a mask pattern covering a top portion of the conductive layer pattern wherein the mask pattern is formed of a layer selected from a group consisting of silicon nitride. Nevertheless, such processing step is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by Cooper. Cooper teaches forming a mask pattern (16) covering a top portion of the conductive layer pattern (14) wherein the mask pattern (16) is formed of a layer selected from a group consisting of silicon nitride (see figure 1 and col. 3, lines 52-63). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have formed a silicon nitride mask pattern covering a top portion of the conductive layer pattern in the Kim's process as taught by Cooper et al. *because* the silicon nitride mask pattern provides protection to the top surface of conductive layer pattern during the etching process of interlayer insulating layer, so that the top surface of the conductive layer pattern can not be etched or damaged by the chemical or plasma.

Regarding to claims 5, 9, the specific etching pressure range as claimed are taken to be obvious since these are variables of art recognized importance which are subject to routine experimentation and optimization and discovery of an optimum value for a known process is obvious. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955). And, even if applicants' modification results in great improvement and utility over the prior art, it may still not be patentable if the

Art Unit: 2813

modification was within the capabilities of one skilled in the art, In Re Sola 25 USPQ 433.

Since, Cooper teaches that the oxide interlayer insulating layer (18, 22) is etched with a mixture of CF₄, CHF₃, and argon (Ar) or one of Ar, O₂ and C₂F₆ at the pressure of 150-350 mtorr (see col. 5, lines 4-19), hence, one of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time the invention was made would have adjusted the plasma etching pressure to the range of less than 100 mtorr to etch the interlayer insulating layer *because* when an optimum etching pressure in the etching chamber is used to etch the interlayer insulating layer, the interlayer insulating layer in the contact region can be completely removed or at the same time leaving a portion of the interlayer insulating layer on the sidewall of conductive layer patterns depending on the spacing between the conductive layer patterns.

Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0036730), and further in view of Chang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,159,842) and further in view of Tsai et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,331,480).

Regarding to claim 7, the interlayer insulating layer is formed of a polymer. Nevertheless, such processing step is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by Chang et al. Chang et al. teaches forming a low dielectric constant material layer HSQ layer (18) having a dielectric constant about 3 over the conductive layer patterns (14) (see figure 1 and col. 4, lines 22-40). The HSQ layer is a silicon polymer and spin-on insulating oxide material having a dielectric constant of 2.7-3.0 (see col. 1, lines 50-55). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have used a silicon polymer HSQ layer having low dielectric constant to replace Kim's low dielectric interlayer

Art Unit: 2813

insulating layer as taught by Chang et al. *because* silicon polymer HSQ layer can be easily deposited over the conductive layer patterns by spin-on coating process, and HSQ material also has the same low dielectric constant characteristics as the other low dielectric constant material which eliminates the capacitive interaction or coupling between closely-spaced conductive layer patterns.

Regarding to claim 8, the interlayer insulating layer is formed of a polymer and etched with a gas selected from Ar, O₂, N₂, H₂, CH₄, C₂H₄ and C_xF_y. Nevertheless, such processing step is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by Tsai et al. Tsai et al. teaches etching low dielectric constant material HSQ having a dielectric constant of about 2.5-3.5 with an etchant of O₂/C₂F₆ (see col. 3, lines 15-21).

Since, Chang et al. teaches forming a low dielectric constant material layer of polymer HSQ layer over the conductive layer patterns having a dielectric constant of 2.7-3.0. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have etched a silicon polymer HSQ layer with an etchant of O₂/C₂F₆ in Chang et al.'s process as taught by Tsai et al. *because* Chang et al. and Tsai et al. both have similar HSQ material, and HSQ material layer which can be selectively etched with O₂ and/or C₂F₆ to form spacers on the sidewall of conductive layer pattern and/or expose the conductive region.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Kim does not teach etching mask defining a straight line shape, and bit line is exposed through the contact hole. In response to applicant that Kim does teach etching mask defining a straight line shape (see figure 2a, noted that straight line does mean that it is vertically straight), and forming the insulating layer (113) on the sidewall of the bit line patterns (107) is only exposed through the contact hole (112, noted that insulating layers can be located at any place in the contact hole and on the sidewall of the bit line patterns (107). Since the claim doesn't teach that the insulating layers is physically in contact with the bit line).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-9439, or by

Art Unit: 2813

Email via address Thanh.Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM to 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, can be reached on (703) 308-4940. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 (See **MPEP 203.08**).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thanh', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Thanh Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Patent Examining Group 2800

TTN